# Malignant transformation of an endometriotic cyst: MDCT and MR findings

Athina C. Tsili<sup>1\*</sup>, Maria I. Argyropoulou<sup>1</sup>, George Koliopoulos<sup>2</sup>, Evangelos Paraskevaidis<sup>2</sup>, Konstantine Tsampoulas<sup>1</sup>

1. Department of Clinical Radiology, University Hospital of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece

2. Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, University Hospital of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece

\* Correspondence: Athina C. Tsili, MD, Department of Clinical Radiology, University Hospital of Ioannina, Leoforos S. Niarchou, 45500, Pl. Pargis, 2, 45332, Ioannina, Greece *a\_tsili@yahoo.gr*)

Radiology Case. 2011 Jan; 5(1):9-17 :: DOI: 10.3941/jrcr.v5i1.435

#### ABSTRACT

Malignant transformation is a rare complication of endometriosis. MR criteria for diagnosis include the presence of soft-tissue components, enhancement after contrast material administration in an endometriotic cyst. We present the multidetector CT and MR imaging findings in a case of an incidentally found endometrioid adenocarcinoma in a left-sided ovarian endometrioma, occurring in a 30-year old woman. MR imaging enabled the correct preoperative characterization of the lesion, by depicting a soft-tissue element, with strong and early enhancement after gadolinium administration. The same area had high signal intensity on diffusion-weighted images and low apparent diffusion coefficient values due to restricted diffusion, findings also strongly suggestive of malignancy.

## CASE REPORT

#### CASE REPORT

A 30-year old multiparous woman was referred to the Gynecology clinic, for a complex left adnexal mass, discovered incidentally during a sonographic examination (Figure 1). Cancer antigen CA 125 and CA 19-9 levels were elevated: 130 U/ml (normal values < 35 U/ml) and 43 U/ml (normal values < 39 U/ml), respectively).

#### Imaging findings

Journal of Radiology Case Reports

Multidetector CT (MDCT) examination of the abdomen was performed on a 16-row CT scanner (Mx8000 ID, Philips). The protocol included unenhanced CT scanning of the pelvis, using a detector collimation of 16 x 1.5 mm, followed by enhanced CT of the abdomen during the portal phase (scan delay: 70 sec), after the i.v. administration of iodinated contrast material (Visipaque, GE Healthcare) with a detector collimation of 16 x 0.75 mm. A large, multicystic left adnexal mass was revealed, composed mainly of hyperdense parts (CT density range: 45-85 HU), which were attributed to the presence of hemorrhagic content (Figure 2). No obvious lesion enhancement was detected (Figure 3, 4). Neither ascites nor lymphadenopathy was seen. Based on the MDCT findings, no obvious signs of malignancy were found.

MR imaging examination of the pelvis on a 1.5-Tesla MR unit (Intera, Philips), using a pelvic-phased array coil was followed. The protocol included turbo spin echo T2-weighted images in transverse, sagittal and coronal planes and axial spin echo T1-weighted images, before and after the application of a fat saturation prepulse. Dynamic contrast-enhanced fat-suppressed gradient-echo T1-weighted imaging was performed in the sagittal plane, after a rapid hand injection of gadolinium chelate components (Omniscan, GE Healthcare). Axial diffusion-weighted (DW) images, with a b-factor of 0 and 800 seconds/mm<sup>2</sup> were also performed. The tumor had parts of high signal intensity on both T1 and T2-weighted images, suggestive for the presence of subacute haemorrhage. Others

Journal of Radiology Case Reports

parts slightly hyperintense and extremely hypointense on T1 and T2-weighted images, respectively were considered as indicative for acute haemorrhage (Figure 5, 6, 7). The presence of a soft-tissue component, detected with intermediate signal intensity, similar to that of the normal myometrium on both T1 and T2-weighted images and early, strong enhancement on dynamic post-contrast MR images was revealed (Figure 5, 6, 7, 8). Subacute hemorrhagic components had intermediate signal intensity on diffusion-weighted images and a mean apparent diffusion coefficient value (ADC) of 1.37 x  $10^{-3}$  mm<sup>2</sup>/second (Figure 9, 10). Acute hemorrhagic parts cause a dramatic signal drop in the area, due to T2\* effect and had no measurable ADC values. The soft-tissue component was detected hyperintense on DW images, with low ADC values  $(1.05 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{second}, \text{ Figure 9, 10})$ , due to restricted diffusion. Ovarian malignancy was strongly indicated based on both conventional and diffusion-weighted MR imaging findings. The presence of an enhancing component within a blood-filled ovarian cyst was considered as suggestive of malignant transformation of a pre-existing endometrioma.

Fertility-preserving surgery was discussed with the patient and in this context initial laparotomy consisted of left salpingo-oophorectomy, biopsies of the right ovary, omenectomy, and peritoneal washings. Pathology showed a well-differentiated ovarian endometrioid adenocarcinoma arising from endometriosis (Figure 11, 12). Microscopic neoplastic foci of the same histologic type, and endometriotic implants were found on the contralateral ovary (Figure 13). Following this report the patient was counselled that fertility preservation was not possible given the presence of malignancy on the right ovary too. A second procedure was followed consisting of total abdominal hysterectomy and right salpingo-oophorectomy. The pathologic stage was IB by FIGO classification. The patient is now well, without signs of recurrence, 18 months after surgery on follow-up MR examination.

#### DISCUSSION

Endometriosis is a common disease affecting primarily women in the reproductive age group (1, 2, 3, 4, 5). Malignant transformation is a rare complication of endometriosis, with an incidence of malignancy in patients with ovarian endometriotic cysts reported 0.6-0.8% (6). Approximately 75% of tumors in patients with endometriosis arise in endometriotic cysts and less often in the rectovaginal septum, rectum and sigmoid colon (1). Endometrioid carcinoma of the ovary is the commonest histologic type of malignancy occurring in endometriomas, as it was in our case, followed by clear cell adenocarcinoma (1, 2, 6, 7, 8). Ovarian carcinomas associated with endometriosis are more often seen in younger women (30-50 years of age) when compared to ovarian carcinoma in women without endometriosis, as it was in this patient.

The typical appearance of an endometriosis-related carcinoma is that of a large ovarian cyst with hemorrhagic fluid and soft-tissue components, enhancing on postcontrast T1-weighted images (6, 7, 8). The above findings were seen in

this patient. Both MDCT and MR imaging detected a large, multicystic adnexal mass, mainly composed of hemorrhagic content. The detection of the enhancing soft-tissue element was difficult on CT, due to the lesion hyperdensity. MR imaging, on the other hand clearly identified the solid tissue component, detected with intermediate signal intensity on T2weighted images and enhancing strongly during the early phase, on dynamic contrast-enhanced images. Dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging has proved useful in differentiating malignancies from benign tumors due to the differences in contrast tissue behavior caused by neoangiogenesis (9, 10, 11). Ovarian tumors usually demonstrate an early and strong enhancement, as was the case in our patient. Based on MR imaging features, a reliable preoperative characterization of the malignant nature of the mass was possible.

Diffusion-weighted (DW) MR imaging has recently been used in the evaluation of the female pelvic malignancies (12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18). It has been reported that high b value DW imaging depicted high signal intensity for malignant tumors when compared to normal tissues and benign lesions with lower ADC values for the former, because malignancies have a larger cell diameter and denser cellularity, therefore restricting water diffusion. These criteria were also met in our patient, although the MR diagnosis was readily made by the conventional MR imaging. The tumorous component was hyperintense on DW images, with a low ADC value (1.05 x  $10^{-3}$  mm<sup>2</sup>/second), a finding suggestive of restricted diffusion. Hemorrhagic components on the other hand had intermediate signal intensity on DW imaging, with ADC values of 1.37 x 10<sup>-3</sup> mm<sup>2</sup>/second. Endometriomas are reported with low ADC values, when compared with benign and malignant cystic ovarian malignancies, due to the presence of thick proteinaceous fluid or blood products (16, 17, 18).

Differential diagnosis of an endometriosis-related carcinoma should include intracystic blood clot and decidual changes of the ectopic endometrium within an endometrioma during pregnancy (6, 7, 19). The absence of contrast enhancement enables the reliable recognition of a blood clot within an adnexal cystic lesion (6). Ectopic endometrial stroma can undergo decidual change during pregnancy and close follow-up of pregnant women with such changes in an endometrioma is mandatory to rule out malignancy (6, 19).

#### TEACHING POINT

Endometriosis is considered a precancerous condition for ovarian malignancy, although rarely. The presence of an enhancing soft-tissue component within a blood-filled ovarian cystic mass is suggestive of malignancy and ovarian carcinoma arising in an endometriotic cyst should be considered. Early and strong enhancement of the soft-tissue element on dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging and restricted diffusion on DW MR images confirm the diagnosis of malignancy.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Woodward PJ, Sohaey R, Mezzetti TP Jr. Endometriosis: radiologic-pathologic correlation. Radiographics 2001(1); 21:193-216.
- 2. Gougoutas CA, Siegelman ES, Hunt J, Outwater EK. Pelvic endometriosis: various manifestations and MR imaging findings. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2000; 175(2):353-358.
- 3. Spencer JA, Weston MJ. Imaging in endometriosis. Imaging 2003; 15:63-71.
- 4. Umaria N, Olliff JF. Imaging features of pelvic endometriosis. Br J Radiol 2001; 74(882):556-562.
- Kinkel K, Frei KA, Balleyguier C, Chapron C. Diagnosis of endometriosis with imaging: a review. Eur Radiol 2006; 16(2):285-298.
- Takeuchi M, Matsuzaki K, Uehara H, Nishitani H. Malignant transformation of pelvic endometriosis: MR imaging findings and pathologic correlation. Radiographics 2006; 26:407-417.
- 7. Tanaka YO, Yoshizako T, Nishida M, Yamaguchi M, Sugimura K, Itai Y. Ovarian carcinoma in patients with endometriosis: MR imaging findings. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2000; 175(5):1423-1430.
- Wu TT, Coakley FV, Qayyum A, Yeh BM, Joe BN, Chen LM. Magnetic resonance imaging of ovarian cancer arising in endometriomas. J Comput Assist Tomogr 2004; 28(6):836-838.
- 9. Thomassin-Naggara I, Bazot M, Darai E, Callard P, Thomassin J, Cuenod CA. Epithelial ovarian tumors: value of dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging and correlation with tumor angiogenesis. Radiology 2008; 248(1):148-159.
- Bazot M, Darai E, Nassar-Slaba J, Lafont C, Thomassin-Nagara I. Value of magnetic resonance imaging for the diagnosis of ovarian tumors: a review. J Comput Assist Tomog 2008; 32(5):712-723.
- Sohaib SAA, Sahdev A, Trappen PV, Jacobs IJ, Reznek RH. Characterization of adnexal mass lesions on MR imaging. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2003; 180:1297-1304.
- 12. Inada Y, Matsuki M, Nakai G, et al. Body diffusionweighted MR imaging of uterine endometrial cancer: is it helpful in the detection of cancer in nonenhanced MR imaging? Eur J Radiol 2009 70(1):122-127.
- 13. Fujii S, Matsusue E, Kigawa J, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of the apparent diffusion coefficient in differentiating benign from malignant uterine endometrial cavity lesions: initial results. Eur Radiol 2008; 18(2):384-389.

- 14. Tamai K, Koyama T, Saga T, et al. The utility of diffusionweighted MR imaging for differentiating uterine sarcomas from benign leiomyomas. Eur Radiol 2008; 18(4):723-730.
- 15. Naganawa S, Sato C, Kumada H, Ishigaki T, Miura S, Takizawa O. Apparent diffusion coefficient in cervical cancer of the uterus: comparison with the normal uterine cervix. Eur Radiol 2005; 15(1):71-78.
- 16. Nakayama T, Yoshimitsu K, Irie H, et al. Diffusionweighted echo-planar MR imaging and ADC mapping in the differential diagnosis of ovarian cystic masses: usefulness of detecting keratinoid substances in mature cystic teratomas. J Magn Reson Imaging 2005; 22:271-278.
- 17. Katayama M, Masui T, Kobayashi S, et al. Diffusionweighted echo planar imaging of ovarian tumors: is it useful to measure apparent diffusion coefficients? J Comput Assist Tomogr 2002; 26(2):250-256.
- Moteki T, Ishizaka H. Diffusion-weighted EPI of cystic ovarian lesions: evaluation of cystic contents using apparent diffusion coefficients. J Magn Reson Imaging 2000; 12(6):1014-1019.
- 19. Miyakoshi K, Tanaka M, Gabionza D, et al. Decidualized ovarian endometriosis mimicking malignancy. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1998; 171(6):1625-1626.

#### FIGURES



**Figure 1.** 30-year old woman with left endometrioid adenocarcinoma developed in a pre-existing ovarian endometrioma. Sagittal gray-scale sonographic scan shows a large, heterogeneous pelvic mass (cursors), with cystic parts (arrows) and solid echogenic loculi (asterisk) (Parameters: probe: transabdominal; frequency: 4 MHz).



Figure 2. 30-year old woman with left endometrioid adenocarcinoma developed within a pre-existing ovarian endometrioma. Transverse unenhanced MDCT image depicts a multicystic left adnexal mass lesion, predominantly with hyperdense components (arrows). The CT density ranged from 45 HU to 85 HU, suggestive of acute hemorrhagic content. (Parameters: 16-row CT scanner; mAs: 130; kV: 120; detector collimation: 16 x 1.5 mm; slice thickness: 5 mm).



30-year old woman with Figure 3. endometrioid adenocarcinoma within a pre-existing ovarian endometrioma. Transverse multiplanar reformatted contrast-enhanced MDCT image shows a large, sharply demarcated left pelvic mass. The dimensions of the tumor were 15.8 X 8 X 15.4 cm. Hyperdense components (arrows) were measured with the same values, as on pre-contrast images, therefore the mass was considered as non-enhancing. Based on MDCT findings, the diagnosis of a benign hemorrhagic adnexal mass lesion was made. (Parameters: 16-row CT scanner; mAs: 110; kV: 120; detector collimation: 16 x 0.75 mm; slice thickness: 0.8 mm; reconstruction interval: 0.5 mm; pitch: 1.2; contrast material: 120 ml; flow rate: 3 ml/sec; scan delay: 70 sec).



Figure 4. 30-year old woman with endometrioid adenocarcinoma within an ovarian endometrioma. Coronal multiplanar reformatted contrast-enhanced MDCT image depicts a large, heterogeneous mass lesion, mainly hyperdense, closely related to the uterine body (long arrow). (Parameters: 16-row CT scanner; mAs: 110; kV: 120; detector collimation: 16 x 0.75 mm; slice thickness: 0.8 mm; reconstruction interval: 0.5 mm; pitch: 1.2; contrast material: 120 ml; flow rate: 3 ml/sec; scan delay: 70 sec).



**Figure 5.** 30-year old woman with left endometrioid adenocarcinoma developed in a pre-existing ovarian endometrioma. Transverse T1-weighted image depicts a sharply-delineated left pelvic mass. The lesion was heterogeneous, mainly with parts (arrows) of signal intensity slightly higher than that of muscle or normal myometrium, a finding suggestive for the presence of hemorrhagic content. A soft tissue component (asterisk) with intermediate signal intensity is also revealed. (Parameters: 1.5 Tesla magnet; TR: 400 msec; TE: 14 msec; slice thickness: 5 mm; gap: 0.5 mm).



**Figure 7.** 30-year old woman with endometrioid adenocarcinoma within an ovarian endometrioma. Sagittal T2-weighted image shows lesion signal herogeneity. The mass was seen close to the uterus body (arrow). The signal intensity of the soft-tissue component (asterisk) was similar to that of normal myometrium (arrow). (Parameters: 1.5 Tesla magnet; TR: 4000 msec; TE: 120 msec; slice thickness: 5 mm; gap: 0.5 mm).



**Figure 6.** 30-year old woman with endometrioid adenocarcinoma within an ovarian endometrioma. Transverse T2-weighted image shows a multicystic left adnexal mass lesion. Hyperintense parts on T1-weighted images were detected with very low signal intensity on T2-weighted images (arrows), a finding suggestive mainly of acute haemorrhage (these elements corresponded to hyperdense parts on CT examination). The soft tissue component (asterisk) was detected with intermediate signal intensity. (Parameters: 1.5 Tesla magnet; TR: 4000 msec; TE: 120 msec; slice thickness: 5 mm; gap: 0.5 mm).



**Figure 8.** 30-year old woman with endometrioid adenocarcinoma developed in a pre-existing ovarian endometrioma. Sagittal fat-saturated dynamic post-contrast T1-weighted image demonstrates soft tissue element (asterisk), with early and strong enhancement, a finding strongly indicative of malignancy (Parameters: 1.5 Tesla magnet; TR: 11 msec; TE: 5.5msec; flip angle; 20°, slice thickness: 3.5mm; contrast material: 2 ml/kg; early phase: 70 sec).

Journal of Radiology Case Reports





**Figure 11.** 30-year old woman with endometrioid adenocarcinoma within an ovarian endometrioma. Well differentiated endometrioid adenocarcinoma (arrows) of the ovary invading the ovarian stroma (arrowheads, H-E X40).

**Figure 9.** 30-year old woman with endometrioid adenocarcinoma in a pre-existing ovarian endometrioma. Transverse diffusion-weighted echo planar image shows hyperintensity of the soft-tissue component (asterisk) due to restricted diffusion, a finding highly suggestive of malignancy. Areas of subacute hemorrhage (arrow) are detected with intermediate signal intensity. (Parameters: 1.5 Tesla magnet; TR: 3800 msec; TE: 120 msec; slice thickness: 5 mm; gap: 0.5 mm, b-value: 800 seconds/mm2).





Radiology Case. 2011 Jan; 5(1):9-17

**Figure 12.** 30-year old woman with endometrioid adenocarcinoma within an ovarian endometrioma. Well differentiated endometrioid adenocarcinoma (arrows) of the ovary beneath the ovarian capsule (arrowheads, H-E X100).

**Figure 10 (left).** 30-year old woman with endometrioid adenocarcinoma developed in a pre-existing ovarian endometrioma. Apparent diffusion coefficient map of image illustrated in Figure 9. The soft tissue component (asterisk) is hypointense, with an ADC value of  $1.05 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$ , a finding suggestive of restricted diffusion. Subacute hemorrhagic component (arrow) is detected with intermediate signal intensity and an ADC value of  $1.37 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$ . The normal ADC values of myometrium and endometrium in this case were  $1.79 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{sec}$ ; and  $1.43 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{sec}$ , respectively.



**Figure 13 (left).** 30-year old woman with endometriosis and endometrioid ovarian adenocarcinoma. Microscopic appearance of an endometriotic focus of the right ovary (H-E X100).

Etiology	Unopposed estrogen therapy			
Incidence	0.6-0.8%			
Age predilection	4-5th decade			
Treatment	Staging laparotomy including radical hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy			
Prognosis	Depends mainly on the stage of the disease at the time of diagnosis			
Imaging findings	MDCT-plain images: hyperdense parts (hemorrhagic content)MDCT-contrast-enhanced images: hyperdense, non-enhancing parts (hemorrhagic content):difficult to appreciate the contrast-enhancing elementsMRI-T1: hyperintense (hemorrhagic content) and isointense parts (tumorous component),when compared to normal myometriumMRI-T2: hyperintense and extremely hypointense components (acute and subacutehemorrhagic content, respectively) and isointense parts (tumorous component), whencompared to normal myometriumMRI-DW: isointense and slightly hyperintense components (hemorrhagic content) andhyperintense parts (tumorous component)MRI-contrast-enhanced images: strong and early enhancement on dynamic MRI (tumorous component)			

**Table 1:** Summary table for endometrioid adenocarcinoma developed within a pre-existing ovarian endometrioma.

	US	СТ	MRI
Carcinoma	Complex adnexal mass.	Multicystic adnexal mass.	Hemorrhagic component
developed in a pre-	with cystic and solid	mainly with hyperdense	T1: hyperintense
existing ovarian	elements. Color and	parts, due to hemorrhagic	T2: hyperintense or hypointense
endometrioma	pulsed Doppler may	content. The detection of	DWI: may show restricted
	show presence of blood	solid, contrast-enhancing	diffusion
	vessels within solid	elements may be difficult	Pattern of contrast enhancement:
	components; a low		none or wall and septa
	resistive index and		enhancement (smooth, usually of
	pulsatility index may		thickeness < 3mm)
	also be detected		Neoplastic component
			T1: intermediate
			T2: intermediate
			DWI: restricted diffusion
			Pattern of contrast enhancement:
			strong, early, heterogeneous
			enhancement
Intracystic blood	Complex adnexal mass,	Multicystic adnexal mass,	T1: hyperintense
clot	with cystic elements and	predominantly hyperdense	T2: hyperintense or hypointense
	solid echogenic parts.		DWI: may show restricted
	Color Doppler may		diffusion
	show absence of blood		Pattern of contrast enhancement:
	vessels within solid		none or wall and septa
	elements		enhancement (smooth, usually of
			thickeness < 3mm)
Decidual changes	Complex adnexal mass,		Hemorrhagic component
developed within	with cystic-solid parts or		T1: hyperintense
endometrioma	nodular projections.		T2: hyperintense or hypointense
during pregancy	Color Doppler may		DWI: may show restricted
	show presence of		diffusion
	vascularity within solid		Pattern of contrast enhancement:
	elements or nodular		no gadolinium is administered
	projections		Solid component
			T1: intermediate
			T2: intermediate
	elements or nodular projections		<ul> <li>Pattern of contrast enhancement:</li> <li>no gadolinium is administered</li> <li>Solid component</li> <li>T1: intermediate</li> <li>T2: intermediate</li> <li>Serial monitoring is mandatory</li> </ul>

**Table 2:** Differential table for endometrioid adenocarcinoma developed within a pre-existing ovarian endometrioma.

#### ABBREVIATIONS

MDCT: multidetector CT MR: magnetic resonance DW: diffusion-weighted ADC: apparent diffusion coefficient FIGO : International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics i.v.: intravenous.

#### KEYWORDS

endometriosis; endometriotic cyst; carcinoma; imaging; multidetector CT; magnetic resonance

## **Online** access

This publication is online available at: www.radiologycases.com/index.php/radiologycases/article/view/435

## Peer discussion

Discuss this manuscript in our protected discussion forum at: www.radiolopolis.com/forums/JRCR

## **Interactivity**

This publication is available as an interactive article with scroll, window/level, magnify and more features. Available online at www.RadiologyCases.com

Published by EduRad

